

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,081		03/12/2004	Saburou Abe	3216/1	8512	
32638	7590	12/16/2004		EXAMINER		
MARCUS			HAMLIN, DERRICK G			
	GEWOOD I T. CA 945			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	.,			1751	···	
				DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	45				
Office Action Summan	10/799,081	ABE ET AL.	11				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Derrick G. Hamlin	1751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ma	arch 2004.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the i	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	ed in this National S	tage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		.d ·					
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	n me cermea copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	and a spinoadon (r 10-	;				

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Evaluations of level of ordinary skill in the art requires consideration of factors such as various prior art approaches employed, types of problems encountered in the art, rapidity with which innovations are made, sophistication of technology involved, educational background of those actively working in the field, commercial success, failure of others, and the inventor's educational level.

The "person having ordinary skill" in this art has the capability of understanding the scientific and engineering principles applicable to the claimed invention. The references of record in this case reasonably reflect this level of skill.

Claims 1-4 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bruhnke et al. (US 5,725,794).

Bruhnke discloses a color-stable antifreeze composition containing a polyhydric alcohol, a corrosion inhibitor and a poly(oxyalkylene)-substituted colorant having the

Art Unit: 1751

structure ABXYZ (abstract). The reference teaches that the antifreeze composition may be from 70-99%, preferably, 80-99% of a polyhydric alcohol; 0.1 to 30%, preferably, 0.1 to 20% of a corrosion inhibitor; and 0.005 to 5%, preferably, 0.005 to 0.5% of a colorant, e.g., 0.01 to 0.05%. (col. 3, lines 34-40) Several glycols and glycol ether are disclosed as the polyhydric material. (col. 3, lines 6-13) Organic chromophore A is broad range of reactive dyes includes azo groups and the reactive dye moieties AB contain organic chromophore A and at least one electrophilic functional group B, such as monohalotriazine; dihalotriazine; monohalopyrimidine; dihalopyrimidine; trihalopyrimidine; dihaloquinoxaline; dihalopyridazone; dihalophthalazine; halobenzothiazole; mono-(m-carboxypyridinium)-triazine; sulfatoethyl sulfone; sulfatoethyl sulfone; chloroethyl sulfone; vinyl sulfone; phenylamino sulfone. (col. 3, line 59 – col. 4, line 17) Several colors of reactive dyes are discloses, such as blues, black, violets, reds, oranges and yellows. (col. 4, lines 32-40)

The reference is anticipatory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruhnke et al. (US 5,725,794).

Application/Control Number: 10/799,081

Art Unit: 1751

Bruhnke is relied upon for the reasons set forth in the rejection above.

With respect to claims 5 and 6, the reference fails to teach the specific azoic and sulphur dyes. With respect to claims 7-9 the reference fails to teach the use of oil soluble, vat or dispersed dyes.

The reference does teach dyes that contain azo and sulphur groups and give the instantly claimed colors, therefore there would be a reasonable expectation of success to modify the prior art to arrive at the instantly claimed invention because the prior art suggest using dyes yielding the same color that contain the same functional groups. Many of the dyes which could be created would real on all the instantly closed dyes. Furthermore, it is well know in the art that the various dyes are used interchangeably and recognized equivalents. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the instantly claimed dyes in a coolant in view of Bruhnke.

In view of the forgoing, the above claims have failed to be patently distinguishable over prior art.

The remaining references listed on form(s) 892 and/or 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick G. Hamlin whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/799,081 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

272-1317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from ~8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Derrick G. Hamlin

12/11/04

NECHOLUC TADEN